

# A Joint Power and Rate Assignment Algorithm for Multirate Soft Handoffs in Mixed-Size WCDMA Cellular Systems

Ching-Yu Liao, *Member, IEEE*, Chung-Ju Chang, *Fellow, IEEE*, Li-Chun Wang, *Senior Member, IEEE*,  
and Yih-Shen Chen, *Member, IEEE*

**Abstract**—The paper proposes a joint power and rate assignment (JPRA) algorithm to deal with multirate soft handoffs in mixed-size WCDMA cellular systems. This JPRA algorithm contains a link proportional power allocation (LPPA) scheme and an evolutionary computing rate assignment (ECRA) method to determine an appropriate allocation of transmission power and service rate for multirate soft handoffs. It can achieve power balancing among cells for soft handoffs better than the conventional site-selection diversity transmission scheme with best-effort rate allocation. Simulation results show that the JPRA algorithm can achieve better cell's service coverage and higher system capacity with and without the measurement errors during the active set selection.

**Index Terms**—Multirate soft handoff, mixed-size cellular system, downlink power allocation, rate assignment.

## I. INTRODUCTION

**S**OFT handoff (SHO) is one of the most important features in multirate wideband code division multiple access (WCDMA) cellular systems. However, base transceiver stations (BTSs) often have to consume more power to serve SHO users than that to serve non-handoff (NHO) users. The fact of that the total power resource in each BTS is confined and shared among SHO and NHO users raises an issue of tradeoffs between coverage and capacity. For example, if a BTS fails to serve multirate HO users near cell boundaries, its cell service coverage is shrunk whereas there are more power applicable to NHO users for higher transmission rates. Thus, a strategy of joint power allocation (PA) and rate assignment (RA) for multirate SHOs plays an important role for downlink radio resource management in multirate WCDMA cellular systems.

Many literatures discussed the topic of joint PA and RA for *all users* in the cellular system in the sense of global

optimization problem [1]–[7]. However, possible combinatorial numbers of solutions are too large to be tractable for downlink optimal resource allocation, and the complexity would be greatly increased when taking multirate SHOs into account. References [1]–[3] focused on reverse link and did not consider multirate SHOs. Kim [4] dealt with rate-regulated power control in reverse link without HO. Reference [5] discussed resource management in multiple-chip-rate direct sequence CDMA systems supporting multiclass services. It arranged HO in the same subsystem or execute inter-frequency HO. References [6] and [7] proposed joint PA and RA algorithms in downlink WCDMA homogeneous cellular systems. The former proposed two sub-optimal algorithms based on a fairness concept, and the latter adopted dynamic programming to optimize the throughput. However, both considered homogeneous cellular systems without SHO mechanisms.

Moreover, plenties of publications ever addressed the issue of downlink PA for HO in the CDMA cellular system [8]–[14]. A conventional site selection diversity transmission (SSDT) scheme was proposed in [8], which is also included in specification of 3GPP TR 25.922 [9]. It provided transmission diversity by dynamically selecting one BTS with best link quality in the active set. However, due to the constraint of maximum link power, SSDT sometimes could not afford enough power required for multirate HO users. Also, since SSDT is a single-site transmission mechanism, it may choose a wrong link during the active set selection, resulting in wasting more power for HOs. The advantage of the power-saving characteristic for SSDT would disappear in the mixed-size environment [10], [11]. Authors in [12] proposed an enhanced SSDT technique to allow more than one BTS to transmit signals to a HO user. Reference [13] presented a cost-function based differentiated power control technique to determine different power levels of each radio link from two BTSs to a HO user. Reference [14] studied two proportional PA methods, in terms of transmission power and target signal quality. None of these aforementioned downlink HO power allocation schemes considered maximum constraint of link power and multiple data rates in a mixed-size cellular system.

Consider a multirate WCDMA cellular system with *mixed-size* cells due to non-uniform traffic load distribution, in which all cells utilize the same frequency. Generally, congested microcells, which are with stringent power budget for maximum total transmission power, may easily exhaust their power because of serving SHO users in downlink [10], [11], and then

Manuscript received March 30, 2005; revised Jan. 27, 2006; accepted June 05, 2006. The associate editor coordinating the review of this paper and approving it for publication was Dr. Bo Li. This work was supported by National Science Council, Taiwan, under contract number NSC 92-2917-1-009-006. Part of this work has been presented in *ACM IWCMC'06*, Vancouver, BC, Canada, July 2006.

Ching-Yu Liao is with Telecordia Applied Research Center Taiwan Co., Taipei, Taiwan, R.O.C. (e-mail: chingyu@research.telcordia.com)

Chung-Ju Chang and Li-Chun Wang are with National Chiao Tung University, HsinChu, Taiwan, R.O.C. (e-mail: cjchang@mail.nctu.edu.tw, lichun@faculty.nctu.edu.tw)

Yih-Shen Chen is with Sunplus Technology Co., Ltd, Taiwan, R.O.C. (e-mail: atlas@sunplus.com)

there would have no enough power resource to serve other NHO users in the system. When taking into account multirate services, this power exhausting problem will become more critical. References [15]-[17] considered capacity issues in mixed-size cellular systems. Both [15] and [16] focused on the reverse link and only voice service was considered. Kishore, et al, [17] concluded that uplink and downlink directions were equivalent in mixed-size cellular systems. Also, it did not consider multirate services. Note that multirate services are often regarded as highly resource-exhausting traffics and often have more volumes of traffic in downlink than that in uplink.

In this paper, a novel joint power and rate assignment (JPRA) algorithm is proposed for downlink *multirate SHOs* in mixed-size WCDMA cellular systems. We firstly formulate this joint PA and RA issue as a combinatorial optimization problem. Then the JPRA algorithm is designed in a cooperative two-phased process, which is composed of the link proportional power allocation (LPPA) scheme in the first phase and the evolutionary computing rate assignment (ECRA) method in the second phase. The LPPA scheme is for PA of SHOs. Unlike the SSDT scheme, LPPA is a multi-site transmission mechanism, which distributes the required power in proportion to link qualities between a SHO user and all BTSs in its active set. The BTS in the active set with better link quality will allocate more power than others with worse link qualities. This will result in power balancing among cells. The ECRA method, based on PA of supportable transmission rates for multirate SHO users obtained by LPPA, formulates the joint PA and RA issue to be an integer and discrete optimization problem, where the sum of the allocated rate for multirate SHO users can be maximized, under a predefined total power constraint for SHOs in each cell. It is well known that conventional optimization methods can hardly cope with problems with integer and discrete variables, whereas evolutionary computing methods are very efficient for these problems to reduce the searching complexity [18].

In the meantime, a new multi-quality balancing power allocation (MQBPA) algorithm for NHO users with multiple service rates is also developed. With the MQBPA algorithm, each BTS can allocate the power required for each NHO user based on the ratio of the user's received interference to the link quality. Previous work for quality balancing PA technique were studied only for a single service rate with unique required signal quality [19], [20]. On the other hand, a multirate removal (MRV) algorithm is proposed to pick out a user, who consumes system resource most, to reduce its service rate or even block it when the system resource is insufficient. Several removal algorithms had been proposed [21]-[23]. Among these, link-based and received signal-strength-based removal algorithms were only suitable for single service [21], [22]; the prioritized removal algorithm [23], based on predefined service priority, did not adjust service rates for users in reverse link of a multiservice cellular system.

Simulation results show that the JPRA algorithm can achieve less forced handoff termination probability by over 300% and higher system throughput by around 5.0 % than the conventional SSDT scheme with best-effort RA. Also, on the perspective of users, it can support excellent user satisfaction




Fig. 1. The system operation of downlink power and rate assignments

indexes for voice and data users. Moreover, if the measurement error (ME) during the active set selection is happened, JPRA behaves less sensitive than SSDT; JPRA can further enhance the forced termination probability of SHOs by about 500% and the total throughput by 8.0 % over SSDT.

The remaining parts of this paper are organized as follows. Section II details the flow of the system operation, and provides the design of MQBPA and MRV algorithms. In section III, the JPRA algorithm for multirate SHOs is presented, including LPPA and ECRA algorithms. Simulation results are provided and discussed in section IV. Finally, section V remarks some conclusions.

## II. SYSTEM OPERATION

The system operation of downlink power and rate assignments for mixed-size WCDMA cellular systems is shown in Fig. 1. A BTS allocates power to HO users first, based on the new joint power and rate assignment (JPRA) algorithm, and then to NHO users, based on the multi-quality balancing power allocation (MQBPA) algorithm. If the system resource is insufficient to support all users with allocated rates their required signal qualities, a multirate removal algorithm (MRV) is activated to release system resources by reducing users' service rate or even suspending users' transmission, and the system operation is executed again.

### A. System Model

In the multirate WCDMA mixed-size cellular system, the received interference of user  $j$  served by BTS  $i$ , denoted by  $I_{i,j}$ , is

$$I_{i,j} = (1 - \alpha)P_i L_{i,j} + \sum_{k \neq i} P_k L_{k,j} + \eta_o, \quad (1)$$

where  $\alpha$  is the orthogonality factor;  $P_i$  is the downlink total transmission power of cell  $i$ ;  $L_{i,j}$  is the link quality from cell  $i$  to user  $j$ , which includes effects of both pathloss and shadowing;  $\eta_o$  is background noise. Note that the first and second terms in (1) denote intra-cell and inter-cell interferences, respectively, in which the first term is caused by imperfect orthogonality of spreading codes. Each user is with service rate  $r$ , where voice users are with single rate  $r = r_v$  and data users are with one of  $M$  kinds of data service rates,  $r = r_d \in \{r_d^1, \dots, r_d^M\}$  and  $r_d^1 < r_d^2 < \dots < r_d^M$ . The received bit-energy-to-noise ratio ( $E_b/N_o$ ) of user  $j$  with service rate  $r$  in BTS  $i$ , denoted by  $\gamma_{i,j}(r)$ , must be larger than or equal to the required signal quality, denoted by  $\gamma^*(r)$ . With allocation power  $q_{i,j}(r)$  from BTS  $i$  to user  $j$ ,  $\gamma_{i,j}(r)$  can be expressed as

$$\gamma_{i,j}(r) = \frac{q_{i,j}(r) \cdot L_{i,j} \cdot G(r)}{I_{i,j}} \geq \gamma^*(r), \quad (2)$$

where  $G(r) = W/r$  is the processing gain, and  $W$  is the frequency bandwidth.

Assume there are  $N_v$  voice HO users and  $N_d$  data HO users in the system. For each SHO user  $h$  with service rate  $r$ , its received  $E_b/N_o$ ,  $\gamma_h(r)$ , can be obtained, if using the maximum ratio combining method to combine signals from all serving BTSs in active set  $D_h$ , by

$$\gamma_h(r) = \sum_{i \in D_h} \gamma_{i,h}(r), \quad (3)$$

where  $r_h(r) \geq \gamma^*(r)$ . The active set of each user  $h$  is determined according to a SHO algorithm which has a SHO threshold  $\eta$ . If the strength difference between the received pilot signals of the original cell and a target cell is less than or equal to  $\eta$ , the target cell would be added as an active member in action set  $D_h$ .

### B. The MQBPA algorithm

The MQBPA algorithm is to provide each NHO user the required signal quality of itself. Assume each service rate  $r$  has the required signal quality  $\gamma^*(r)$ ; denote  $C_i$  ( $Q_i$ ) as the total transmission power for NHO (SHO) in cell  $i$  such that  $C_i + Q_i = P_i$ ; and denote  $\hat{P}_i$  as the maximum total transmission power of cell  $i$ . The MQBPA algorithm assigns the NHO user  $j$  in cell  $i$  with service rate  $r$  an amount of power,  $q_{i,j}(r)$ , by

$$q_{i,j}(r) = \frac{w_{i,j}}{\sum_{j \in \mathbf{U}_i} w_{i,j}} \cdot C_i, \quad (4)$$

where  $\mathbf{U}_i$  is the set of NHO user in cell  $i$ ,  $\sum_{j \in \mathbf{U}_i} q_{i,j}(r) = C_i$ ;  $w_{i,j}$  is the weight factor of the transmission power,  $C_i$ , for user  $j$  in cell  $i$ , defined as

$$w_{i,j} = \frac{I_{i,j}}{L_{i,j} \cdot G(r)} \cdot \gamma^*(r). \quad (5)$$

This  $w_{i,j}$  is designed to combat near-far effect for the WCDMA cellular system. In order to obtain required balanced signal quality  $\gamma^*(r)/G(r)$ , based on (2), the required transmission power of each user  $j$  in BTS  $i$  with rate  $r$ ,  $q_{i,j}(r)$ , must be proportional to the ratio of  $I_{i,j}/L_{i,j}$ . Thus,

more (less) power would be allocated to the user with higher (lower) transmission rate  $r$  or larger (smaller) the ratio of  $I_{i,j}/L_{i,j}$ . In the MQBPA algorithm, each user has to transmit the value of  $w_{i,j}$ , which can be obtained by measuring its received total interference and signal strength, to its serving BTS via the control channel. However, measurement error (ME) might be occurred in this stage and it might degrade the system performance. We consider the case of that MEs result in misarranging users' active sets in section IV.

Substituting (4) and (5) into (2), the received signal quality of the NHO user  $j$  in cell  $i$  can be yielded as

$$\gamma_{i,j}(r) = \frac{C_i}{\sum_{j \in \mathbf{U}_i} w_{i,j}} \gamma^*(r). \quad (6)$$

With the system requirement of that  $\gamma_{i,j}(r) \geq \gamma^*(r)$ , if  $C_i/\sum_{j \in \mathbf{U}_i} w_{i,j}$  is less than 1, the total allocation power  $C_i$  of BTS  $i$  should be adjusted by tuning factor  $\psi_i$ , given by

$$\psi_i = \frac{\gamma^*(r)}{\gamma_{i,j}(r)}. \quad (7)$$

In the following, the MQBPA algorithm is described.

#### [The MQBPA Algorithm]

##### Step 1: [Initialize]

- Initialize  $P_i$  to be  $\hat{P}_i$  for each cell  $i$ , and calculate  $Q_i$  for SHO users in each cell  $i$  after executing JPRA algorithm.
- Initialize incremental tuning value  $\Delta\psi_i$  and the last tuning value  $\psi'_i$  of cell  $i$  to be zero.

##### Step 2: [Calculate $w_{i,j}$ ]

- Calculate  $w_{i,j}$ , based on (5), for user  $j$  in cell  $i$ .

##### Step 3: [Calculate $q_{i,j}(r)$ ]

- Calculate  $C_i = P_i - Q_i$  for NHO users in each cell  $i$ .
- Calculate  $q_{i,j}(r)$  for each NHO user  $j$  with service rate  $r$  in cell  $i$  based on (4), and  $q_{i,j}(r) = \min(q_{i,j}(r), \hat{q}_i)$ .

##### Step 4: [Calculate $\psi_i$ ]

- Calculate  $\psi_i$  for each cell  $i$ , based on (6) and (7).

##### Step 5: [Calculate $\Delta\psi_i$ and save current tuning factor as $\psi'_i$ ]

- Calculate  $\Delta\psi_i = \psi_i - \psi'_i$  for each cell  $i$ .
- Save  $\psi'_i$  as  $\psi_i$  for each cell  $i$ .

##### Step 6: [Check Stop Criterion for each cell $i$ ]

- IF any  $\psi_i \neq 1.0$  or any  $\Delta\psi_i > 0.01$ , denoting the convergence is not met, THEN
  - Adjust the total transmission power as

$$P_i = \min(\psi_i \times C_i + Q_i, \hat{P}_i). \quad (8)$$

- Goto **Step 2**.
- ELSE DONE.

The proposed MQBPA algorithm will converge to a desired solution of that an effective individual PA for each users exists and its required signal quality is satisfied. The convergence characteristic of (8) can be proved, similar to the proof in [20], where the power control algorithm for the single service is *standard* for it satisfies three properties: *positivity*, *monotonicity* and *scalability*. If the solution does not exist, the MRV algorithm will be activated.




Fig. 2. Flowchart of the MRV algorithm

### C. The MRV algorithm

In order to squeeze resource from a user who consumes the largest power whenever lacking of resource enough for accommodating all users, a removal algorithm is launched to pick out a user based on a removal index. In this paper, the proposed MRV algorithm, for the mixed-size WCDMA cellular system with multirate services, defines a new removal index for user  $j$  with service rate  $r$ , denoted by  $J_j(r)$ , as  $J_j(r) = \gamma^*(r) / \{\tilde{P}_i \cdot L_{i,j} \cdot G(r)\}$ , where  $\tilde{P}_i$  is pilot power of BTS  $i$ , which is related to the cell size, and  $\tilde{P}_i \cdot L_{i,j}$  is equal to user  $j$ 's received signal strength from BTS  $i$ . The removal index represents the degree of system resource required to serve user  $j$  with service rate  $r$ . A user with the largest value of the removal index will consume the most system resource currently, and this user should be first considered to be removed or reduced rate. The worse the received signal strength, the higher the service rate and required signal quality are, the larger the removal index value will be.

In order to provide higher priority for voice users, the proposed MRV algorithm removes system resource from data users first unless all the data users are reduced to basic service rate, which is the lowest transmission rate for data services. The flowchart of MRV algorithm is shown in Fig. 2. At first, the MRV scheme will check if all data users are with basic rate. If there exists at least one data user not with the basic rate, the MRV scheme will choose the data user with the maximum removal index. If the service rate of the selected user is with the basic rate, then the system will remove it

directly, otherwise reduce its rate to the next lower service rate. If all data users are with basic rate, the system will remove the user which is with the maximum removal index.

### III. THE JPRA ALGORITHM

The problem of the joint PA and RA for multirate SHOs is here defined as a constrained combinatorial optimization problem with an objective to maximize the total throughput of multirate SHOs, under the constraints of that the total power allocated to SHO users in each cell should be bounded by a maximum value, the power allocated to each SHO user from a cell of its active set is limited by an upper bound, and the received bit-energy-to-noise ratio of each SHO user must not be less than its requirement of signal quality. We define the total throughput of multirate SHO to be the sum of the allocated rate for the multirate SHO data users, excluding the service rate of SHO voice user which is constant. Also, for clarity, denote the allocation rate  $r$  for SHO data user  $h$  as  $(r_d)_h$ ,  $(r_d)_h \in \{r_d^1, \dots, r_d^M\}$ , and the rate vector for all multirate SHO data users by  $\mathbf{R} = [(r_d)_1, \dots, (r_d)_h, \dots, (r_d)_{N_d}]$ . Then the optimization problem is mathematically formulated by

$$\mathbf{R}^* = \arg \max_{\mathbf{R}} \left\{ \sum_{h=1}^{N_d} (r_d)_h \right\}, \quad (9)$$

subject to constraints:

$$\sum_{h=1}^{N_v+N_d} q_{i,h}(r) \leq \hat{Q}_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq N_b, \quad r \in \{r_v, r_d^1, \dots, r_d^M\}, \quad (10)$$

$$q_{i,h}(r) \leq \hat{q}_i, \quad i \in D_h, \quad (11)$$

$$\text{and } \gamma_h(r) \geq \gamma^*(r), \quad \forall h, \quad r \in \{r_v, r_d^1, \dots, r_d^M\}, \quad (12)$$

where  $\hat{Q}_i$  is the maximum value of total allocation power of cell  $i$  for SHOs,  $\hat{q}_i$  is the upper bound of the PA to SHO user  $h$  from cell  $i$ , and  $N_b$  is the numbers of BTSs in the system. We propose a novel JPRA algorithm to efficiently solve the above optimization problem. The JPRA algorithm is mainly composed of the LPPA scheme, which determines all possible  $q_{i,h}(r)$  under the constraints of (11) and (12), and the ECRA method, which searches for  $\mathbf{R}^*$  of (9) under the constraint of (10). In this joint PA and RA for SHO users, power balancing can be accomplished among cells.

#### A. The LPPA Scheme

The LPPA scheme is an iterative algorithm to determine the transmission power required for SHO user  $h$ ,  $q_h(r)$ , and how much amount of  $q_h(r)$  would be from cell  $i$  in its active set  $D_h$ ,  $q_{i,h}(r)$ , under the constraint of the maximum link power,  $\hat{q}_i$ ,  $i \in D_h$ , as stated in (11). The resultant  $q_{i,h}(r)$  is proportional to the link quality between the BTS  $i \in D_h$  and the SHO user  $h$  [10]. That is,  $q_{i,h}(r) = q_h(r) \times \varpi_{i,h}$ , where  $\varpi_{i,h}$  is the weighting factor of required transmission power for the link between BTS  $i$  and user  $h$ . We set  $\varpi_{i,h}$  by

$$\varpi_{i,h} = \frac{L_{i,h}}{\sum_{i \in D_h} L_{i,h}}. \quad (13)$$

Basically, the link proportional strategy for PA is to let the active link with better link quality contribute more power than those with weaker link qualities. If the required transmission power of one link violates the constraint of the maximum link power, LPPA will compensate the required power through other links by using an iterative method to redistribute  $q_h(r)$  to all serving BTSs to satisfy the required signal quality. The iteration is to try to accomplish the power balance among mixed-size cells. Also, the resultant signal quality of user  $h$  with service rate  $r$  should not be less than its required signal quality  $\gamma_h^*(r)$ , as stated in (12), otherwise the  $q_h(r)$  should be adjusted by a tuning factor,  $\phi_h$ , given by

$$\phi_h = \frac{\gamma_h^*(r)}{\gamma_h(r)}. \quad (14)$$

Besides, it is noteworthy that due to the constraint of the maximum link power, there exists a forced termination situation for SHO whenever SHO users cannot obtain required signal quality even though all active links are allocated with maximum link power. If the SHO user is forced to be terminated,  $q_{i,h}(r)$  of each link  $i$  in active set  $D_h$  is reset to zero. We have proven that the LPPA scheme is convergent in [10].

Here, the LPPA scheme will calculate all PA combinations of  $q_{i,h}(r)$ ,  $1 \leq h \leq N_v + N_d$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq N_b$  and  $r \in \{r_v, r_d^1, \dots, r_d^M\}$ , for the joint PA and RA problem described in (9)–(12). Here, only the determination of  $q_{i,h}(r)$  for an arbitrary SHO user  $h$  with rate  $r \in \{r_d^1, \dots, r_d^M\}$  is stated. In the following, we brief the LPPA scheme, and the details can be found in [11].

#### [The LPPA Scheme]

**Step 0:** [Initialize service rate  $r$  for SHO user  $h$ ]

- IF SHO user  $h$  is with voice service, THEN set  $r = r_v$ .  
ELSE Set  $r = r_d^m$  and  $m=1$  for SHO user  $h$  is with data service.

**Step 1:** [Exam the SHO feasibility]

- Set  $\phi_h = 1.0$ .
- Allocate maximum link power  $\hat{q}_i$  for each active links  $i$ .
- Calculate received signal quality  $\gamma_h(r)$  based on (2), (3).
- IF  $\gamma_h(r) > \gamma_h^*(r)$ , THEN goto **Step 2**.  
ELSE IF  $\gamma_h(r) = \gamma_h^*(r)$ ,  
THEN set  $q_{i,h}(r) = \hat{q}_i$ ,  $i \in D_h$ , and goto **Step 6**.  
ELSE  
IF  $r = r_v$  or  $r = r_d^1$ ,  
THEN SHO user  $h$  is forced to terminate such that  
 $q_{i,h}(r) = 0$ ,  $i \in D_h$ , DONE.  
ELSE goto **Step 6**.

**Step 2:** [Initialize power settings]

- Initialize required transmission power  $q_h(r)$  for SHO user  $h$  by  $q_h(r) = \sum_{i \in D_h} \hat{q}_i$ .

**Step 3:** [Calculate weighting factor  $\varpi_{i,h}$ ]

- Obtain weighting factor  $\varpi_{i,h}$  for the transmission power from BTS  $i$  in  $D_h$  to SHO user  $h$ , based on  $L_{i,h}$  by (13).

**Step 4:** [Calculate allocation power  $q_{i,h}(r)$ ]

- Determine the power that BTS  $i$  in  $D_h$  allocates to SHO user  $h$ ,  $q_{i,h}(r)$ , by

$$q_{i,h}(r) = \min\{q_h(r) \times \varpi_{i,h}, \hat{q}_i\}, \forall i \in D_h. \quad (15)$$

**Step 5:** [Compute received  $E_b/N_o$  and tuning factor  $\phi_h$ ]

- Compute the corresponding  $\gamma_h(r)$  based on (2) and (3), and set tuning factor  $\phi_h$  based on (14).

**Step 6:** [Check Stop Criterion]

- IF  $\phi_h \neq 1.0$ ,  
THEN let  $q_h(r) = \phi_h \times q_h(r)$  and goto **Step 2**.  
ELSE  
IF  $r \in r_d$  and  $r \neq r_d^M$ ,  
THEN  $m = m + 1$ ,  $r = r_d^m$  and goto **Step 1**.  
ELSE DONE.

#### B. The ECRA Method

The ECRA method performs optimal RA for multirate SHO users based on the formulation in (9)–(12). It is found that even after we have reduced the complexity of the global optimization problem by taking care of joint PA and RA only for multirate SHO users, the computation time would still be a major concern for practical applications, especially when there is a larger number of multirate SHO users being managed. Recall that there are  $N_d$  ( $N_v$ ) SHO data (voice) users and  $M$  kinds of data service rate in the system. The LPPA scheme has determined the  $q_{i,h}(r)$ ,  $i \in D_h$  for  $r = \{r_d^1, \dots, r_d^M\}$  if HO data user and for  $r = r_v$  if HO voice user,  $1 \leq h \leq N_d + N_v$ . The searching complexity is larger than  $(M+1)^{N_d}$  by using exhaustive method, in which 1 means a zero service rate for suspending transmission. If  $N_d$  is 10 and  $M$  is 4, there are nearly  $10^7$  searching complexity. This is far beyond a reasonable computation time for feasible application. In this paper an evolutionary computing algorithm [18], which is a promising intelligent technique to effectively search a global optimal solution, is adopted.

The evolutionary computing technique is a more advanced genetic algorithm; it uses stochastic searches to solve difficult optimization problems in real world through simulating natural genetic processes of living organisms, including selection, mutation, and crossover, to come up with better populations with better chromosomes generation by generation. It represents the service rate of each HO user as a chromosome, and a collection of chromosomes forms a population. Each population is regarded as the possible solution for the optimal RA of all HO data users. For  $M$  kinds of data service rates, each rate  $r_d$  is encoded into  $\lfloor \log_2(M+1) \rfloor$  binary digits, denoted by chromosome  $x$ , and the decoder function for  $x$  is denoted by  $s(x)$ . Thus, for SHO data user  $h$  with service rate  $r_d$ , its corresponding allocation power is  $q_h(s(x_h))$ , in which the allocation power from active link  $i$  by the LPPA scheme is  $q_{i,h}(s(x_h))$ . Recall that  $r_v$  is the service rate for SHO voice users and  $q_{i,h}(r_v)$  is the corresponding allocation power from active link  $i$  to SHO user  $h$ .

The ECRA method is to find an optimal RA vector (decision vector) for  $N_d$  multirate SHO users,  $\mathbf{x}^* = [x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots, x_{N_d}^*]$  by maximizing the objective function  $O(\mathbf{x})$ , which is defined to be the total throughput of SHO data users. We restate the optimization problem defined in (9) and (10) as

$$\mathbf{x}^* = \arg \max_{\mathbf{x}} \left\{ O(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \sum_{h=1}^{N_d} s(x_h) \right\}, \quad (16)$$

subject to constraints:

$$\sum_{h=1}^{N_v} q_{i,h}(r_v) + \sum_{h=1}^{N_d} q_{i,h}(s(x_h)) \leq \widehat{Q}_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq N_b. \quad (17)$$

Note that each  $\mathbf{x}$  reveals different degree of the power balance among cells, and  $\mathbf{x}^*$  in (16) is corresponding to  $\mathbf{R}^* = [s(x_1^*), \dots, s(x_{N_d}^*)]$  in (9). Because of the constraint, some decision vectors may be out of the feasible domain. A violation function is used to rank the violation degree of the decision vector [18]. The value of the violation function indicates how far the solution deviate from the feasible region. This constrained violation function is defined as

$$\Psi(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N_b} M_i [\sum_{h=1}^{N_v} q_{i,h}(r_v) + \sum_{h=1}^{N_d} q_{i,h}(s(x_h))]^2}{2N_b} + \frac{\sum_{h=1}^{N_d} H_h [\gamma_h(s(x_h))]^2}{2N_d}, \quad (18)$$

where  $M_i$  and  $H_h$  are the Heaveside operators [18], in which  $M_i[\cdot] = 1 \{H_h[\cdot] = 1\}$  whenever the constraint in (17) is violated, and  $M_i[\cdot] = 0 \{H_h[\cdot] = 0\}$  otherwise. In the following, the ECRA method is described. Noticeably, the allocation powers for SHOs are corresponding to the ones obtained by the LPPA scheme.

#### [The ECRA method]

##### Step 1: [Initialize]

- Set crossover rate  $p_c$ , mutation rate  $p_u$ , and maximum number of generations  $T$ .
- Initialize generation  $t = 1$ , optimal objective value  $O^* = 0$ , and optimal decision vector  $\mathbf{x}^* = \mathbf{0}$  (zero pattern).
- Generate  $K$  populations that are randomly selected decision vectors  $\mathbf{x}_k = [x_1^k, \dots, x_{N_d}^k]$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq K$ .

##### Step 2: [Execute constraint tournament selection to form $\mathbf{x}'_k$ ]

- Choose  $K$  tournament pairs randomly among  $\mathbf{x}_k$ , and calculate  $\Psi(\mathbf{x}_k)$  (18) for each competitive pair.
- Replace  $\mathbf{x}_k$  with winner  $\mathbf{x}'_k$  which has minimum  $\Psi(\mathbf{x}_k)$ .

##### Step 3: [Execute variable point crossover to form $\mathbf{x}''_k$ ]

- Choose  $K/2$  crossover pairs from adjacent population  $\mathbf{x}'_k$  and  $\mathbf{x}'_{k+1}$ , where  $k$  is odd.
- Generate a random number  $c$  in  $[0, 1]$  for each chromosome in each crossover pair.
- For the chromosome with  $c < p_c$ , generate the crossover point randomly in  $[1, \lceil \log_2(M+1) \rceil]$  and make the crossover operation within it.

##### Step 4: [Execute uniform mutation to form $\mathbf{x}'''_k$ ]

- Generate a random number  $u$  in  $[0, 1]$  for every bit in each population  $\mathbf{x}'''_k$ .
- Mutate any bit with  $u < p_u$ .

##### Step 5: [Update $O^*$ and $\mathbf{x}^*$ ]

- Find feasible populations  $\{\mathbf{x}'''_k\}$  with  $\Psi(\mathbf{x}'''_k) = 0$
- Set  $O^* = \max\{O(\mathbf{x}'''_k)\}$  and  $\mathbf{x}^* = \arg_{\mathbf{x}'''_k} \max\{O(\mathbf{x}'''_k)\}$ .

##### Step 6: [Check the stop criterion]

- IF  $t < T$ , THEN Set  $t = t + 1$ , and Goto **Step 2**.  
ELSE DONE.

TABLE I  
SERVICE CLASSES

Service ( $r_v$ and $r_d$ )	$r$ (kbps)	$\gamma^*(r)$ (dB)	encoded $x$
Voice	12.2	5	N/A
Data	16	4	(0,0)
Data	32	3	(0,1)
Data	64	2	(1,1)
Data	144	1.5	(1,0)

## IV. SIMULATION RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### A. Simulation Model

Consider an example of mixed-size WCDMA cellular system with 12 wrap-around squared cells, including 4 microcells in the central congested region and 8 macrocells in the neighboring cells [11]. The radii of macrocell and microcell are  $R_M = 1$  km and  $R_\mu = 1/2$  km, respectively, thus the cell radius ratio between microcell and macrocell is  $\rho = R_\mu/R_M = 1/2$ . The antenna heights of BTSs in macrocell (microcell) are 20 (10) meters, and the antenna height of mobile stations is 1.5 meters. For the propagation channel model, both pathloss and long-term shadowing are taken into account, in which two slope pathloss exponents are 2 dB and 4 dB, and standard deviations of two slope shadowing are 4 dB and 8 dB [24]. Note that we also consider the cases of  $\rho = 1$  and  $\rho = 1/3$  in Fig. 8 to show the performance results versus different cell size ratios in mixed-size cell architecture. Furthermore, assume the bandwidth  $W$  is 4.096 MHz, and each cell utilizes the same frequency, in which the orthogonality factor is 0.5.

For the power budget design,  $\widehat{P}_i$  for macrocell (microcell)  $i$  is 20 (10) watts, and  $\widehat{q}_i$  of the macrocell (microcell) is 1 (0.5) watt. Here,  $\eta$  is 2 dB and the maximum active set size is 3. In simulations, two cases of which there are without and with MEs during the active set selection are concerned. For the case with MEs, the received signal strength of each user is added an error signal that is Gaussian distributed random variable with zero mean and 1.5 dB standard deviation.

Users are assumed to be uniformly distributed in each cell, and each user moves in a constant speed of 36 km/hr. The probability of moving direction change for users is 0.2 and the range of each direction angle change is among  $\pm 45$  degree [25]. By mobility, the correlated shadowing effect is based on Gudmundson model [26], in which the decorrelation length is equal to 20 meters in a vehicular environment. Assume the shadowing factor will not be varied when the moving distance is less than 4 meters and there are 5 averaging windows in each snapshot. For 36 km/hr mobility speed, the correlated shadowing duration is 400 msec. Also, assume the allowable number of iteration for system operation is 40, and each iteration takes 1 frame time (10 msec). Performance measurements are averaging from 2000 independent instances of user location and shadowing, and each snapshot has 5 correlated instances. For parameters of the ECRA method in the JPRA algorithm, the supportable service classes and the corresponding codes for ECRA method are listed in Table I. The population size  $K$  is 100, the crossover rate  $p_c$  is 0.5, the

mutation rate  $p_m$  is 0.05, and the stop generation  $T$  is 20.

In simulations, each cell has the same numbers of voice and data users, thus the central four microcells form a highly traffic congested region. Assume number of voice users  $N_v$  is 30 and that of data users  $N_d$  ranges from 3 to 12 in each cell.

### B. Results and Discussion

There are two essential performance measures investigated. One is the HO forced termination probability, which indicates the service continuity and the effectiveness of the cell's service coverage. It is evaluated by counting the proportion of SHO users that are terminated by the system due to insufficient power resource for SHOs temporarily. The other is the total throughput of the system, which is obtained by summing all allocated transmission rates of users, which represents the system capacity. Because of limited downlink power resources of one BTS, under various non-uniform traffic load situations, there exists tradeoffs between the cell's service coverage and the system capacity using different joint PA and RA schemes.

The proposed JPRA algorithm is compared with SSdT [8] and LPPA schemes with the best-effort RA. The best-effort RA is to assign HO users maximum allowable transmission rate which exists feasible PA solutions to satisfy users' required quality of services. In order to achieve fair comparison for all schemes, the total power constraint of SHOs is confined to 0.3 times maximum transmission power of each BTS [27]. In simulations, we take best-effort rate allocation as the benchmark for comparisons, and denote SSdT and LPPA with best-effort RA by SSdT and LPPA, respectively.

Figure 3 shows the average forced termination probability of SHO under different traffic loads. It can be seen that the HO forced termination probability of JPRA is much less than those of SSdT and LPPA schemes by over 300% and around 200%, respectively. It can be inferred that the significant gain of JPRA over LPPA comes from the RA for SHO by the ECRA method, and the gain of LPPA over SSdT comes from the PA strategy for SHO [10], [11]. The reasons are that the allowable transmission rates are highly correlated to the feasible PA solutions; RA for each SHO user directly affects the management of power resource in at least two BTSs; thus an optimal RA for SHO users obtained by the ECRA method will further enhance the effect of power balance among cells.

Also, it can be seen that, when the traffic load is light, LPPA achieves less average forced termination probability of SHO than SSdT; when the traffic load is heavy, LPPA performs worse than SSdT. The reason is that higher interferences are induced by the multi-site transmission mechanism than by the single-site transmission mechanism for HOs, the finite total power resource of the BTS may be insufficient to support more HO users at heavy load situations. Moreover, consider the case with ME during the active set selection. It is observed that MEs incur higher HO forced termination probabilities for all schemes because BTSs in active set waste more power on multirate HO users. Also, the superiority of JPRA over SSdT is increased up to around 500%. This is because the outstanding power balance characteristic of JPRA can relieve the effect of MEs. If the HO forced termination probability is regraded as




Fig. 3. Averaged forced termination probability of soft handoff without measurement error (ME) and with 1.5 dB measurement error (ME).




Fig. 4. Total handoff throughput versus the number of data users per cell without measurement error (ME) and with 1.5 dB measurement error (ME).

the performance index of the cell's service coverage, in which smaller HO forced termination probability means better cell coverage, JPRA achieves better cell's service coverage than SSdT and LPPA. JPRA indeed provides the superb power balance characteristic and optimal RA for multirate SHOs, thus it can not only provide better service continuity performance but also possess the capability of the resistance to MEs.

Figure 4 shows the total HO throughput versus different number of data users. It is found that both LPPA and JPRA have higher throughput than SSdT because of the multi-site transmission mechanism, and JPRA achieve capacity gain over LPPA as in the aspect of the HO force termination probability shown in Fig. 3. The reason is that there exists a tradeoff between coverage and capacity for JPRA and LPPA schemes, due to the total power constraint of SHOs. The ECRA method in the JPRA reduces the average transmission rate of multirate SHOs so as to accomplish better cell coverage while LPPA leads to more terminated HO users and thus higher HO throughput than JPRA. The similar results could be observed in the case of MEs. But since higher HO forced termination probability in this case results in more power




Fig. 5. The average call dropping probability versus the number of data users per cell without measurement error (ME) and with 1.5 dB measurement error (ME).

left for survived HO users to transmit with higher average transmission rates, the total HO throughput in the case of MEs is higher than those in the case of error free. In addition, we can see that the ECRA method in JPRA plays an important role to reduce performance degradation by MEs. This is because the ECRA method allocates optimal transmission rates for multirate SHOs to further balance power loads among cells. Therefore, JPRA successfully enhances cell's service coverage and HO throughput.

Figure 5 shows the average call dropping probability versus the number of data users. The call will be dropped when there is no feasible PA solution to support the user with its required service qualities for a period of time. When the required average call dropping probability is 0.01, JPRA (LPPA) can improve the average call dropping probability of all users by 71% (0%) and 200% (25%) without and with MEs, compared to SSdT. It is mainly because JPRA owns a better power balance characteristic via multirate SHO resulting from LPPA and ECRA. This optimal radio resource management can achieve an appropriate assignment among BTSs in the active set, preventing one BTS from using too much power resources to serve multirate SHOs. Thus there would be more power resource preserved to serve NHO users.

Figure 6 shows the total system throughput gain, referred to SSdT. We can see that JPRA (LPPA) can achieve a higher total system throughput than SSdT by 5% (3%) and 8% (4%) in the case of ME free and 1.5 dB MEs, respectively. The reasons are that the power balance characteristic of JPRA and LPPA schemes can aid the system to balance power load more among cells, and the multisite transmission can support higher transmission rates for SHO users. JPRA indeed makes great improvements in cell's service coverage and system capacity because it can optimally allocate resource for multirate SHOs. However, it is found that when the number of data users gets larger, the gain gets worse. This is because the multisite transmission induces larger interference that results in the degradation of the system throughput.

In the meantime, on the perspective of the user satisfaction,




Fig. 6. The total system throughput gain versus the number of data users per cell without measurement error (ME) and with 1.5 dB measurement error (ME).




Fig. 7. The user satisfaction index (USI) versus the number of data users per cell for (a) USI of voice users ( $USI_v$ ) and (b) USI of data users ( $USI_d$ ), respectively.

voice and data users should have different service requirements. Denote the call dropping probabilities of voice (data) users as  $P_v$  ( $P_d$ ). Also, the summation of allocated (requested) transmission rates of data users is represented by  $R_d$  ( $R_d^*$ ). Two users' satisfaction indexes (USI) for voice and data services, denoted by  $USI_v$  and  $USI_d$ , respectively, are defined as

$$\begin{cases} USI_v = (P_v^* - P_v)/P_v^*, \\ USI_d = \kappa_d \times R_d/R_d^* + (1 - \kappa_d) \times (P_d^* - P_d)/P_d^*, \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

where  $\kappa_d$  is the weighting factor for the total throughput and call dropping probability of data users, and  $P_v^*$  ( $P_d^*$ ) is the system requirement for voice (data) call dropping probability. Figs. 7(a) and 7(b) show  $USI_v$  and  $USI_d$  versus the number of data users, respectively, where both  $P_v^*$  and  $P_d^*$  are set as 0.05, and assume  $\kappa_d$  is 0.7 because data users usually are satisfied with higher transmission rates and can tolerate longer transmission delay. It is shown that the proposed JPRA scheme can provide better  $USI_d$  whereas it still could maintain good  $USI_v$  even when there exists MEs.




Fig. 8. The total system throughput for the SSdT, LPPA, and JPRA schemes under different cellular architecture in cell radius ratio  $\rho=1$ ,  $1/2$ , and  $1/3$ , and with and without measurement error (ME).

Figures 8(a) and 8(b) show total system throughput for the SSdT, LPPA, and JPRA schemes under cell radius ratio  $\rho=1$ ,  $1/2$ , and  $1/3$  with and without MEs, respectively. It is found that when the number of data users is smaller than 9, JPRA always achieves the highest total throughput for any cell radius ratio. In particular, for the mixed-size cellular system with smaller cell radius ratio, JPRA can achieve the capacity gain larger than the others because of its outstanding power balance characteristic. In the case of MEs, we can see that, compared to without ME case, JPRA has much higher gain of the system throughput than SSdT and LPPA. When the cell radius ratio is  $1/3$  and the number of data users is getting larger, the total system throughput of the multi-site transmission schemes, LPPA and JPRA, are degraded severely. This is because multi-site transmission schemes for HO users may cause large interference in the highly congested mixed-size WCDMA cellular system. Also, when the system is overloaded and exceeds predetermined power budget, in order to release some power load and preserve users' required signal quality as much as possible, JPRA adjusts transmission rates of the SHO data users aggressively; but LPPA cannot respond to the variation of the interference promptly due to the lack of rate adaptation function. The LPPA has decrement rate of system throughput less than JPRA. On the other hand, SSdT is very suitable for applying to the highly congested environment with small cell radius ratio,  $1/3$  in this case, because the single-site transmission scheme induces less interference than other multi-site transmission ones. However, based on the previous results from Fig. 3 to Fig. 7, SSdT gets the worst performance of  $USI_d$  for cell radius ratio  $1/2$ , in which  $USI_d$  is related to not only throughput but also call dropping probability for data users. In the case of cell radius  $1/3$ , although JPRA could not achieve better system throughput when traffic load is highly congested, compared to SSdT, it can still achieve better  $USI_d$  because of smaller call dropping probability.

As for the searching complexity issue, it is defined as the number of operations for all searching patterns needed to come

up with an optimal rate allocation for all multirate SHO users. In the case of the exhaustive search, the searching complexity is  $(M+1)^{N_d}$ . In the case of the ECRA method, the searching complexity is given as  $\lfloor \log_2(M+1) \rfloor \times K \times T \times N_d$ , upper bounded by the multiplication of the length of populations, number of SHO data users  $N_d$ , population size  $K$ , and number of generation  $T$ . Note that  $M$  is the number of supportable service rates in the system. It can be found that when the number of multirate data users is larger than 7, the searching complexity of the exhaustive search grows exponentially. The ECRA method can efficiently reduce the searching complexity, compared to the exhaustive search.

## V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, a joint power and rate assignment (JPRA) algorithm is proposed to deal with multirate SHOs in WCDMA mixed-size cellular systems. It contains link proportional power allocation (LPPA) scheme and the evolutionary computing rate assignment (ECRA) method. Compared to SSdT and LPPA schemes with best-effort based rate assignments, simulation results show that JPRA accomplishes superior power balance among cells so that the JPRA algorithm can achieve better cell's service coverage and higher system capacity. Also, JPRA can offer greater user satisfaction for voice and data users. Furthermore, JPRA is less sensitive to measurement errors in the active set selection than SSdT with best-effort rate allocation.

It is noteworthy that aforementioned advantages of JPRA are more conspicuous in WCDMA mixed-size cellular systems with smaller cell radius ratio between microcell and macrocells. Also, the evolutionary computing algorithm is applied for the first time to solve the downlink resource optimization problem for SHO in this paper, the JPRA algorithm can efficiently reduce the complexity problem of downlink resource management and make real system implementation feasible.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank the anonymous reviewers for their very thoughtful and valuable comments making the presentation of this paper better.

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. L. Kim, Z. Rosberg, and J. Zander, "Combined power control and transmission rate selection in cellular networks," in *Proc. IEEE VTC'99 Fall*, Amsterdam, Netherlands, pp. 1653-1657, Sept. 1999.
- [2] C. W. Sung, W. S. Wong, "Power control and rate management for wireless multimedia CDMA systems," *IEEE Trans. Commun.* vol. 49, no. 7, pp. 1215-1226, July 2001.
- [3] M. Soleimanipour, W. Zhuang, and G. H. Freeman, "Optimal resource management in wireless multimedia wideband CDMA systems," *IEEE Trans. Mobile Comput.*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 143-160, Apr.-Jun. 2002.
- [4] D. Kim, "Rate-regulated power control for supporting flexible transmission in future CDMA mobile networks," *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun.*, vol. 17, no. 5, pp. 968-977, May 1999.
- [5] Y. W. Kim, D. K. Kim, J. H. Kim, S. M. Shin, and D. K. Sung "Radio resource management in multiple-chip-rate DS/CDMA systems supporting multiclass services," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 50, no. 3, pp. 723-736, May 2001.
- [6] D. I. Kim, E. Hossain, and V. K. Bhargava, "Downlink joint rate and power allocation in cellular multirate WCDMA systems," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 69-80, Jan. 2003.

- [7] S. Kahn, M. K. Gurcan, and O. O. Oyefuga, "Downlink throughput optimization for wideband CDMA systems," *IEEE Commun. Lett.*, vol. 7, no. 5, pp. 251-253, May 2003.
- [8] H. Furukawa, K. Hamabe, and A. Ushirokawa, "SSDT - Site Selection Diversity Transmission Power Control for CDMA Forward Link," *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun.*, vol. 18, no. 8, pp. 1546-1554, Aug. 2000.
- [9] 3GPP Technical Specification 25.922, "Radio resource management strategies," Dec. 1999.
- [10] C. Y. Liao, L. C. Wang, and C. J. Chang, "Power Allocation Mechanisms for Downlink Handoff in the CDMA System with Heterogeneous Cell Structures," *ACM/Kluwer WINET*, vol. 11, no. 5, pp. 593-605, Sept. 2005.
- [11] ———, "A Joint Power and Rate Assignment Algorithm in Mixed-Size WCDMA Cellular Systems," in *proc. ACM IWCMC'06*, Vancouver, BC, Canada, 2004.
- [12] A. Morimoto, K. Higuchi and M. Sawahashi, "Site independent diversity transmit power control for inter-cell site diversity in W-CDMA forward link," in *proc. IEEE VTC'01 fall*, Atlantic City, USA, pp. 645-649, Oct. 2001.
- [13] D. Staehle, K. Leibnitz and K. Heck, "Effects of soft handover on the UMTS downlink performance," in *proc. IEEE VTC'02 fall*, Vancouver, Canada, pp. 960-964, Sept. 2002.
- [14] F. Blaise, L. Elicegui, F. Goeusse and G. Vivier, "Power control algorithms for soft handoff users in UMTS," in *proc. IEEE VTC'02 fall*, Vancouver, Canada, pp. 1110-1114, Sept. 2002.
- [15] H. G. Jeon, S. M. Shin, T. Hwang, and C. E. Kang, "Reverse link capacity analysis of a CDMA cellular system with mixed cell sizes," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 49, no. 6, pp. 2158-2163, Nov. 2000.
- [16] S. Kishore, L. J. Greenstein, H. V. Poor, and S. C. Schwartz, "Uplink user capacity in a CDMA macrocell with a hotspot microcell: exact and approximate analyses," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol.2, no.2, pp. 364-374, Mar. 2003.
- [17] ———, "Downlink user capacity in a CDMA macrocell with a hotspot microcell," in *Proc. IEEE GLOBECOM'03*, San Francisco, CA, pp. 1573-1577, Dec. 2003.
- [18] A. Osyczka, *Evolutionary algorithms for single and multicriteria design optimization*, Physica-Verlag, 2002.
- [19] S. A. Grandhi, J. Zander, and R. D. Yates, "Constrained power control," *Wireless Personal Commun.*, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 257-270, 1995.
- [20] D. Kim, "A simple algorithm for adjusting cell-site transmitter power in CDMA cellular systems," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 48, no. 4, pp.1092-1098, July 1999.
- [21] M. Andersin, Z. Rosberg, and J. Zander, "Gradual removals in cellular PCS with constrained power control and noise," *ACM/Baltzer Wireless Networks J.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 27-43, 1996.
- [22] F. Berggren, R. Jantti, and S. L. Kim, "A generalized algorithm for constrained power control with capability of temporary removal," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 50, no. 6, pp. 1604-1612, Nov. 2001.
- [23] S. L. Kim, "Optimization approach to prioritized transmitter removal in a multiservice cellular PCS," in *Proc. IEEE PIMRC'98*, Boston, USA, pp. 1565-1569, Sept. 1998.
- [24] V. Erceg, S. Ghassemzadeh, M. Taylor, D. Li, and D. L. Schilling, "Urban/suburban out-of-sight propagation modeling," *IEEE Commun. Mag.*, vol 30, . no. 6, pp. 56-61, June 1992.
- [25] Universal Mobile Telecommunication System (UMTS); "Selection procedures for the choice of radio transmission technologies of the UMTS," *UMTS 30.03*, version 3.2.0, TR 101 112, pp. 54-55, 1998.
- [26] M. Gudmundson, "Correlation model for shadow fading in mobile radio systems," *Electron. Lett.*, vol. 27, no. 23, pp. 2145-2146, Nov. 1991.
- [27] A. J. Viterbi, *CDMA: principles of spread spectrum communication*, Addison-Wesley, pp. 218-224, June 1995.

PLACE  
PHOTO  
HERE

**Ching-Yu Liao** (S'02-M'05) received the Ph.D. degree in communication engineering from National Chiao Tung University, Hsinchu, Taiwan, R.O.C. in 2004. From Jan. 2004 to May 2005, she was a visiting scholar at University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada. She is currently a senior research scientist at Telcordia Applied Research Center Taiwan Company (TARC-TW). Her research interests include handoff techniques, radio resource management, heterogeneous wireless networks, cross-layer design, stochastic optimization, etc.

PLACE  
PHOTO  
HERE

**Chung-Ju Chang** (S'84-M'85-SM'94-F'06) was born in Taiwan, ROC, in August 1950. He received the B.E. and M.E. degrees in electronics engineering from National Chiao Tung University (NCTU), Hsinchu, Taiwan, in 1972 and 1976, respectively, and the Ph.D degree in electrical engineering from National Taiwan University (NTU), Taiwan, in 1985.

From 1976 to 1988, he was with Telecommunication Laboratories, Directorate General of Telecommunications, Ministry of Communications, Taiwan, as a Design Engineer, Supervisor, Project Manager, and then Division Director. In the meantime, he also acted as a Science and Technical Advisor for the Minister of the Ministry of Communications from 1987 to 1989. In 1988, he joined the Faculty of the Department of Communication Engineering, College of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, National Chiao Tung University, as an Associate Professor. He has been a Professor since 1993. He was Director of the Institute of Communication Engineering from August 1993 to July 1995, Chairman of Department of Communication Engineering from August 1999 to July 2001, and the Dean of the Research and Development Office from August 2002 to July 2004. Also, he was an Advisor for the Ministry of Education to promote the education of communication science and technologies for colleges and universities in Taiwan during 1995 - 1999; he is acting as a Committee Member of the Telecommunication Deliberate Body, Taiwan. He serves as Editor for IEEE Communications Magazine and Associate Editor for IEEE Trans. Vehicular Technology. His research interests include performance evaluation, wireless communication networks, and broadband networks. Dr. Chang is a member of the Chinese Institute of Engineers (CIE).

PLACE  
PHOTO  
HERE

**Li-Chun Wang** (M'96-SM'05) received the B.S. degree from National Chiao Tung University, Taiwan, R. O. C. in 1986, the M.S. degree from National Taiwan University in 1988, and the Ms. Sci. and Ph. D. degrees from the Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, in 1995, and 1996, respectively, all in electrical engineering. From 1990 to 1992, he was with the Telecommunications Laboratories of the Ministry of Transportations and Communications in Taiwan (currently the Telecom Labs of Chunghwa Telecom Co.). In 1995, he was affiliated with Bell

Northern Research of Northern Telecom, Inc., Richardson, TX. From 1996 to 2000, he was with AT&T Laboratories, where he was a Senior Technical Staff Member in the Wireless Communications Research Department. Since August 2000, he has joined the Department of Communication Engineering of National Chiao Tung University in Taiwan as an Associate Professor and has been promoted to a full professor since August 2005. His current research interests are in the areas of cellular architectures, radio network resource management, cross-layer optimization, and cooperation wireless communications networks. Dr. Wang was a co-recipient (with Gordon L. Stuer and Chin-Tau Lea) of the 1997 IEEE Jack Neubauer Best Paper Award from the IEEE Vehicular Technology Society. He is an associate editor for the IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications and holding three US patents.

PLACE  
PHOTO  
HERE

**Yih-Shen Chen** was born in Taiwan, in September 1973. He received the B.E., M.E. and Ph. D degree in communication engineering from Nation Chiao-Tung University, Hsinchu, Taiwan, R.O.C. in 1995, 1997 and 2004, respectively. In 2005, he joined a 3G project in Sunplus Technology, Taiwan. His research interests include performance analysis, protocol design, and PCS (personal communication service) networks.

## The List of Captions of Figures

Fig. 1. The system operation of downlink power and rate assignments.

Fig. 2. Flowchart of the MRV algorithm.

Fig. 3. Averaged forced termination probability of soft handoff without measurement error (ME) and with 1.5 dB measurement error (ME).

Fig. 4. Total handoff throughput versus the number of data users per cell without measurement error (ME) and with 1.5 dB measurement error (ME).

Fig. 5. The average call dropping probability versus the number of data users per cell without measurement error (ME) and with 1.5 dB measurement error (ME).

Fig. 6. The total system throughput gain versus the number of data users per cell without measurement error (ME) and with 1.5 dB measurement error (ME).

Fig. 7. The user satisfaction index (USI) versus the number of data users per cell for (a) USI of voice users ( $USI_v$ ) and (b) USI of data users ( $USI_d$ ), respectively.

Fig. 8. The total system throughput for the SSDT, LPPA, and JPRA schemes under different cellular architecture in cell radius ratio  $\rho=1, 1/2, \text{ and } 1/3$ , and with and without measurement error (ME).

## The List of Captions of Tables

Table I SERVICE CLASSES